

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

213

**CWP No. 20953 of 2014
Date of Decision:-April 05, 2017**

SANDEEP KUMAR GUPTA

.....Petitioner

V/S

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION AND ORS.

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present : Mr. Sardavinder Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kunal Dawar, Advocate
for respondent No. 2.

M.M.S. Bedi, J. (Oral)

The petitioner had made an application under the Right to Information Act seeking information on 9 points, out of which 2 points related to National Commission for Protection of Child Rights, Child Welfare-I Section. The controversy is only in context to the information at point 4 regarding which he was asked to inspect the record as the record was voluminous consisting of more than 400 pages. Permission was granted to him to inspect the file and get the copies of the required documents.

With the assistance of counsel for the petitioner, I have perused the information sought at point 7 which is pertaining to the account number, name of the account holder and name of the bank in which money collected under RTI Act is deposited by All India Council for Technical Education. The defence under Section 8(1)(j) was available with the respondent No. 3 but respondent No. 3 has opted to waive the said objection.

Taking into consideration the said circumstances, I am of the opinion that no interference is required in the order passed by the Central Information Commissioner (Annexure P-5). However it is clarified that in case the petitioner wants the copies of the documents which have been permitted to be inspected by him, the same would be supplied to him at his expenses.

Disposed of with the above observation.

April 5, 2017

Rajeev

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No