

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 15735 of 2017
Date of Decision: 20.07.2017

Suresh Kumar and others

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Jawahar Lal Goyal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the case of the petitioners for step up of their pay is covered by the judgment of this Court in Neelam Rani vs. State of Haryana and others (CWP No. 11254 of 2010), and in respect of their grievance, they had made a representation, Annexure P-9 herewith, which has not been decided so far.

On query put to learned counsel for the petitioner, it is his specific contention that the case of the petitioners has never been rejected earlier.

Consequently, without going into the merits of the contentions made in the petition, in any manner whatsoever, respondent no. 2, i.e. the competent authority, is directed to dispose of the aforesaid representation (Annexure P-9), stated to have been made by the petitioner, by passing a speaking order within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

July 20, 2017
nitin

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No.