

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.15730 of 2017.

Date of Decision: July 31, 2017

Ishu Dhingra

.....Petitioner

versus

Punjab University, Chandigarh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL.

Present: Mr.Gazi Mohd. Umair, Advocate, for the petitioner.

-.-

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

The petitioner appeared in JEE (Main-I) Examination 2017 and as per the result-sheet (P-1), he got 92 marks. The petitioner is also a sports person and the Directorate of Sports, Chandigarh Administration has awarded him Grade-B vide certificate Annexure P-3. The petitioner applied for admission in the Punjab Engineering College, University of Technology, through the Joint Admission Committee-respondent No.2 in sports category in which he was placed at Sr.No.14 and based thereupon, he has been admitted in Mechanical Engineering Course. The petitioner alleges that various candidates (impleaded as private-respondents vide a separate application) who have even not qualified in JEE-2017 examination, have been admitted to better courses in the sports category, depriving him admission in Computer Engineering.

We find that mother of the petitioner in her representation (P-4) as also in the representation made by his father (P-5), it is categorically averred that the candidates who have not qualified the JEE examination, namely, who did not get the minimum qualifying marks, have been admitted

only on the basis of their grading in sports allegedly in violation of Clause 6.4.2.4 of the Information Brochure where clause (i) unequivocally provides that *inter-se* merit of the candidates seeking admission against the seats of reserved category of sports shall be determined only on the basis of their merit in sports provided that they have qualified in the JEE (Main)-2017.

Since the writ petition is founded purely on question of facts, we deem it appropriate to dispose of the same with a direction to the Director, Punjab Engineering College, University of Technology, to consider the above-mentioned grievance of the petitioner and redress the same by way of a reasoned order. It shall be specifically disclosed as to whether or not the candidates who have been admitted in sports quota, are qualified as per the criteria prescribed in the Information Brochure or why the petitioner does not have a superior claim over and above them. Let the reasoned order be passed within a period of one week from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order.

Let a copy of this order be given dasti to learned counsel for the petitioner today itself on deposit of usual charges, under the signatures of the Bench Secretary.

[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE

July 31, 2017
mohinder

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No

CM No.10217 of 2017 in
CWP No.15730 of 2017

- - -

Ishu Dhingra versus Punjab University, Chandigarh and others

Present : Mr.Gazi Mohd. Umair, Advocate,
for the applicant-petitioner.

* * *

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed subject to all just exceptions and persons, details of whom are given in the head-note, are impleaded as respondent Nos.5 to 18 in the writ petition.

Amended memo of parties is taken on record.

CM stands disposed of.

(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE

July 31, 2017
mohinder

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE