

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Date of decision: 17.08.2017

CWP No.1571 of 2017

Itwari Devi

.... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana & ors.

.... Respondents

CWP No.1572 of 2017

Ishwar Chander

.... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana & ors.

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present: Mr. Amit Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurav Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.

Rakesh Kumar Jain, J.

This order shall dispose of the above-said writ petitions a common question of law is involved in both the cases. However, for the convenience, facts are being extracted from CWP No.1571 of 2017.

In short, the petitioner purchased a plot of 4 marlas by executing a sale deed on the basis of power of attorney, which was registered at Tatipur (Madhya Pradesh). The said sale deed has been cancelled by the Registrar, Ambala vide its order dated 27.09.2013 (Annexure P-1) exercising his power under Section 68(2) of the Indian Registration Act, 1908 on the ground that there is no office of Sub Registrar at Tatipur, Madhya Pradesh. Aggrieved against the said order, the present petition has been filed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that similar matter has been favourably decided in *CWP No.2550 of 2014* titled as *Kuldeep Kaur Chopra and others vs. State of Haryana and others* in which the issue was also regarding registration at Tatipur, Madhya Pradesh. It is further submitted that the present case is covered by the aforesaid decision passed in CWP No.2550 of 2014.

Learned counsel for the State could not deny it.

Therefore, the present petition(s) are allowed in terms of the order passed in CWP No.2550 of 2014 decided on 16.11.2016.

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE

17.08.2017
sonia

1. Whether speaking/non-speaking?	Yes
2. Whether reportable?	Yes/No