

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 1569 of 2017
Date of Decision: 31.01.2017

MANISH KUMAR **... Petitioner**

V/s.

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER **...Respondents**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Mohit Rathee, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

KULDIP SINGH, J. (Oral)

Brief facts of this case are that the petitioner was appointed as Driver on DC rates through outsourcing policy on 15.10.2011. It is claimed that, he is not allowed to join duty w.e.f. 30.05.2015, without any reason or notice.

Heard.

It comes out that it is not the case of the petitioner that the petitioner has been replaced with another person/employee. It is a case where the services of the Driver appointed on DC rates have been discontinued.

I am of the view that it is basically an industrial dispute and accordingly, the preset writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to raise the said industrial dispute in accordance with law before the appropriate authority/Court, if so advised.

January 31, 2017

Suresh Kumar

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

✓

Whether speaking / reasoned :

Yes / No

✓

Whether Reportable :

Yes / No