

**114 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.15637 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : 19.07.2017**

Deepanshu Mudgil

..... Petitioner

versus

Director Central Board of Secondary Education Delhi
Shiksha Kendra-2 and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Aditya Singh Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AJAY TEWARI, J.(ORAL)

This petition has been filed for issuance of direction to the respondents for allowing the petitioner to inspect his OMR sheet of NEET (UG) Examination held on 07.05.2017.

The allegations made in this petition is that the petitioner had actually scored 500 marks in the NEET (UG) examination but was awarded only 195. As per him after the result was declared, he paid the prescribed fees and was shown his OMR sheet on computer and he discovered that in 87 questions the answer which was shown to have been marked was not that which he had marked.

However, I find the story quite incredible. As per the system of examination, if there is double marking on any question the same would not

be marked. If on the other hand his answer has been erased or cancelled out and some other answer had been marked the computer would reject the same. Either way the story trotted out by the petitioner is not adding up. In any case it raises disputed questions of facts. The whole basis of the claim of the petitioner that he had marked the questions in his question paper in a different manner cannot be proved since it is not unknown that an examinee may mark a different answer in the question paper and a different answer in the answer sheet.

In the circumstances, I regret my inability to interfere in the present case.

Petition is dismissed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

19.07.2017
pooja sharma-I

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No