

**127 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.15596 of 2017

Date of decision: July 19, 2017

Rameshwar Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

Punjab State Power Corporation Limited and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. S.P. Thukral, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J.(ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, certiorari or any other writ directing the respondents to fix the pension of the petitioner at the rate of Rs.28,130/- p.m. which the petitioner was drawing at the time of his retirement on 28.02.2013 instead of Rs.26,630/- p.m. as the respondents while fixing the pension/other retiral benefits have reduced the pay from Rs.28,130/- to Rs.26,630/-. Further seeking direction to release payment of gratuity of Rs.8,35,465/- and other retiral benefits after re-fixing the pension.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to the official respondent(s) to decide legal notice dated 17.04.2017 (Annexure P-24) within a stipulated period.

3. Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to the official respondent(s) to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his legal notice dated 17.04.2017 (Annexure P-24) and to decide the same in accordance with law particularly keeping in view the judgment passed in CWP No.8347 of 2012 decided on 11.07.2016 captioned as *Smt. Rajinder Kaur Vs. Punjab State*

Electricity Board and others as well as CWP No.5234 of 2000 decided on 04.05.2001 captioned as *Swinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and others*, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

July 19, 2017
m. sharma

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No