

**107 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.19141 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : May 31, 2017

Harpal Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. A.S. Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. R.S. Pathania, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. A.D.S. Bal, Advocate for respondent Nos.2 and 3.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner is getting full pension. He further states that the State is likely to enhance the gratuity as a result of 7th Pay Commission, which is yet to submit the report and in case, his adhoc service is not counted, he would be paid less gratuity. It is further stated that the adhoc service of the co-employees have already been counted.

In the circumstances, it is found that claim regarding 7th Pay Commission is pre-mature at this stage. However, as regards adhoc service, a direction is given to the respondents that the petitioner shall be given the same treatment as given to the co-employees.

Disposed of.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

May 31, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No