

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.20048 of 2015
Date of decision : 27.03.2017

Amarjit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

Present: Mr. Manish Kumar Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Manoj Bajaj, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

Mr. Nakul Sharma, Advocate for respondent No.7.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has approached this Court seeking following
multifold relief:-

*“For issuance of writ of certiorari for quashing the
order dated 26.08.2015 (Annexure P-4)*

*For issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the
respondent No.1 to frame rules/regulations with respect to
the filing and listing of cases/appeals etc. in the court of
respondent Nos.2 and 3 and to create separate filing and
listing Branch which will not have any concern with court
staff i.e. law officer, reader, judgment writer etc., who have
only been appointed to assist the Hon'ble Court and who
have nothing to do with the clerical work i.e. regarding
filing the listing of the cases.*

Further directing the respondent No.1 to create

separate Branch regarding applying, preparing and taking of the certified copies of the orders etc. and for inspection of the cases, which will not have any concern with court staff i.e. law officer, reader, judgment writer etc., who have only been appointed to assist the Hon'ble Court and further to display the cause list, one day prior to the date of hearing, on the website of the department.

Further directing the respondent No.1 to fix the working days as well as working hours of the court and further the respondent Nos.2 and 3 may kindly be directed to hold the court only on court working days and during court working hours.”

In pursuance to the affidavit dated 15.03.2017 filed by Gopal Krishan Singh, Director, Rural Development and Panchayats, Punjab, State has already undertaken to notify the Rules.

In view of the aforementioned fact, no cause of action survives in the present writ petition as grievance of the petitioner stands vindicated.

Writ petition stands disposed of as infructuous.

27.03.2017

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No