

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 15368 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : October 11, 2017

Rahul Sharma

..... Petitioner

v.

Kurukshetra University and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. S.M Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. AS Virk, Advocate
for respondents No.1 to 3.

Mr. Rakesh Gupta, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

By this writ petition, the petitioner has challenged the orders of the respondents dated 1.4.2017 (Annexure P-7) and 6.4.2017 (Annexure P-6) whereby the result of the petitioner of B.Tech 2nd Semester (June 2015) has been cancelled.

The primary argument of the petitioner is that before cancelling the result, no opportunity of hearing or notice was ever served upon him. On the last date, I had directed counsel for respondents No.1 to 3 to place on record file to ascertain if the petitioner had been associated with any inquiry. Today, Mr. Virk has fairly stated that in this case there was a general complaint against many students to the effect that their marks had

been illegally changed to their advantage and in inquiry it was prima facie substantiated. Though notice was issued to the other affected candidates but inadvertently it was not issued to the petitioner.

In the circumstances, I have no option but to set aside the orders, Annexures P-7 and P-6 qua the petitioner while granting liberty to respondents No.1 to 3 to proceed afresh in accordance with law, if they are so advised. Ordered accordingly.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending C.Ms, if any, also stand disposed of.

October 11, 2017
'kk'

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No