

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 23095 of 2013 (O&M)
Date of decision : March 30, 2017

Sukha Devi,

..... Petitioner

v.

State of Haryana and others,

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Sanjeev Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, AAG Haryana

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

Husband of the petitioner died on 9.5.2005. She applied for a job for her son on compassionate ground but while the case was under consideration, Rules of 2006 came into existence. As regards the issue of compassionate appointment, the matter is now covered against the petitioner by the decision of the Supreme Court in Union of India and others v. Sima Banerjee (Civil Appeal No.251 of 2017, decided on 10.1.2017). In the circumstances, the only relief which can be granted to the petitioner is under 2006 Rules.

Let the petitioner give her option to the respondents within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. The respondents are directed to release the benefit as per her option within a further period of three months. If the due benefit is not granted to

the petitioner within the stipulated period, she would be entitled to claim the same with interest @ 12% pa from the date/s the amount/s fell due.

The petition stands disposed of.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending C.Ms, if any, also stand disposed of.

March 30, 2017
'kk'

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No