

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

233

CWP-15265-2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 16.11.2017

KARANVIR SINGH

....PETITIONER

VS

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr. M.L.Saggar, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Arman Saggar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Aseem Aggarwal, Standing Counsel
for respondent No.-1-UOI.

Mr. Aditya Sharda, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. M.S.Longia, Advocate
for respondent No. 2.

Mr. Gautam Pathania, Advocate
for respondent No.5.

Mr. Sukhdip Singh Brar, Advocate
for respondent No.7.

AJAY TEWARI, J.(Oral)

By this petition the petitioner has challenged the result of the MBBS 1st professional where he has been declared to have failed in all the papers.

The brief contention of the learned senior counsel for the petitioner is that this was the first time that the respondent-university had taken recourse to the method of electronic checking for MBBS. This was done without imparting due training and in a similar case (though relating to the MD

Examination) in CWP No. 18429-2016 titled as “Raj Bahadur Singh and others vs. MCI and others” decided on 15.3.2017 this Court had found that this process had been done without proper training of those examiners and had ordered manual checking. He has argued that even though that writ petition related to a different exam, yet the finding regarding inadequate training which was provided to the examiners would have to be extended to this examination also. Counsel for the respondent NO.5-University is not in a position to deny that similar training was provided to all the examiners and as informed by the Counsel for the petitioner, the University has accepted the Judgment of Raj Bahadur's case (supra) and has got examined those papers manually.

In my considered opinion, the same relief has to be given to the present petitioner also. In the circumstances, this petition is allowed and the respondent-Baba Farid University is directed to have all the papers of the petitioner checked manually. Let the necessary action be done within a period of the 1 month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and fresh result (if required) be declared within a period of 1 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

16.11.2017
anuradha

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE_

Whether speaking/reasoned - Yes/No

Whether reportable - Yes/No