

**230 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.19664 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision : March 15, 2017**

Sucha Ram Baalu

..... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. R.K. Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Nikhil Chopra, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Learned State counsel has filed the copy of the arrears bill, in which it is stated that ACP arrears has been paid. The copy of the cheque No.387232, dated 21.05.2016 received by the petitioner for ₹56,369/- is also enclosed. Therefore, it is stated that all the arrears have been released to the petitioner.

It being so, the present petition is disposed of having been rendered infructuous with further direction that the State shall also supply calculation sheet on which, the arrears has been calculated and in case, the petitioner finds some discrepancy in the same, he will first file the representation before the respondents and if still he is not satisfied, he can always file the application for reviving the present petition.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

March 15, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No