

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.15111 of 2017

Date of Decision: 14.07.2017

Tripati Yadav and another

---Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

----Respondents

Coram: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.J. VAZIFDAR, CHIEF JUSTICE**
 HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

Present: Mr. Roopak Bansal, Advocate
 for the petitioners.

S.J. VAZIFDAR, CHIEF JUSTICE

At this stage, we intend considering only the alternate relief claimed by directing the official respondents to consider the petitioner's application for registration. It is clarified that we do not direct them to register the documents but only to consider the application for registration.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that there are certain disputes between them and respondent No.4 i.e. developer. The respondent shall therefore consider the representation of respondent No.4 also before taking any decision.

Disposed of.

(S.J. VAZIFDAR)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE

14.07.2017
atul

Whether speaking/ reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No