

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

126

CWP No.15107 of 2017

Date of decision: 14.07.2017

Sube Singh & others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S.SANDHAWALIA

Present: Mr.S.K.Malik, Advocate, for the petitioners.

G.S. SANDHAWALIA, J. (Oral)

Petitioners seek regularization w.e.f. 01.10.2003 instead of 20.08.2014, on account of the fact that the services of their juniors have been regularized.

It is the case of the petitioners that they were engaged as Class-IV part-time employees in the Education Department, through the Employment Exchange on 23.10.1997, 29.10.1997 and 22.10.1997 and the joining orders have been appended as Annexure P-1. It is further their case that the private-respondents No.4 and 5 were appointed on 10.07.2000 and 04.10.2001 respectively and are juniors to them but their services were regularized on 19.11.2003 and 22.11.2003. Reliance has been placed upon Annexure P-1A, to show their date of appointment and regularization and reference is, accordingly, made to the regularization orders passed in case of the petitioners on 20.08.2014 (Annexure P-10 Colly.).

Counsel for the petitioners, accordingly, submits that a legal notice dated 25.03.2017 (Annexure P-7) has also been served upon the respondents for the necessary relief, pointing out their grievances.

Notice of motion.

Mr.Harish Rathee, Sr.DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3. Copy of the paperbook has been supplied to him.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

126

CWP No.15107 of 2017

-2-

Accordingly, without commenting upon the merits of the case and the entitlement of the petitioners, as such and keeping in view the fact that the petitioners have already approached the official-respondents for the necessary relief, the present writ petition is disposed of, by directing respondent No.3 to take a decision on the legal notice dated 25.03.2017 (Annexure P-7), within a period of 2 months. If the petitioners are found entitled for the benefits of regularization at a prior point of time, as prayed for, they be granted the necessary relief. However, in case an adverse order is to be passed, same should contain reasons and be conveyed to the petitioners.

14.07.2017

Sailesh

**(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*

Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*