

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.15085 of 2017
DECIDED ON: July 14, 2017**

RAM PARKASH

....PETITIONER

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Balbir Singh Jaswal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the respondents to enter the name of petitioner's second wife as nominee in pension papers, as his first wife has expired.

2. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that the petitioner was retired on 28.02.2010 as Sewadar on attaining the age of superannuation, and thereafter, he has been moving different representations to the respondent(s), to incorporate the name of his second wife namely Ms. Bittu as his nominee in the pension papers. But despite the fact that various representations were moved including representation dated 16.12.2016

(Annexure P-10), no cautious decision has been taken by the concerned authorities, till date.

3. Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents No.3 -Chief Executive Officer, Cantonment Board, Ambala Cantt. to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his representation dated 16.12.2016 (Annexure P-10) and in case, the concerned authority comes to the conclusion that he is entitled to the claim sought for, to take appropriate action, in accordance with law, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

July 14, 2017
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned ***Yes***

Whether reportable ***Yes/No***