

**109 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.150 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : January 11, 2017**

Karan Singh Sehrawat

..... Petitioner

Versus

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam and others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Surender Lamba, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The petitioner, who retired on 30.11.2002 claims that he was entitled to the time-scale after completion of 12 years of service on 13.05.2002. It is also claimed that the petitioner had been making representations for considering his case.

The short prayer of the petitioner is that the respondents may be directed to decide the representations of the petitioner.

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to the respondents, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the representations of the petitioners Annexures P-1 to P-16 within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

January 11, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No