

**122 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.1497 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : January 30, 2017**

Mainka Yadav

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Vikram Singh Rao, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Petitioner claims that in response to the advertisement No.4/2015, published on 28.06.2016, she applied for the post of (PGT)-H.E.S.-II (Group-B Services). It is also claimed that the carbon copy of the OMR sheet was supplied to the petitioner, which is placed on file as Annexure P-3. The result was declared. The cut off marks were 84. Each question was carrying two marks. The petitioner further claims that in his carbon copy of OMR sheet, she has 42 correct answers with the result that her marks will count to 84 and she will meet the cut of marks and will be eligible for interview. Therefore, she be provisionally allowed to appear for the interview and one seat should be kept reserved for her.

After going through the documents, I am of the view that at this stage, this Court is unable to decide whether the answers shown in the carbon copy of the OMR sheet are correct as per the original sheet. It is basically the job of the respondents to check up whether the answers are correctively marked in the original OMR sheet. The result is declared on the

basis of original OMR sheet.

The petitioner has already made representation Annexure P-6 before the Haryana Staff Selection Commission on 09.01.2017. Since this Court is unable to form the opinion as to whether the answers shown in the carbon copy of the OMR sheet are correctly shown or not, in these circumstances, without issuing notice to the respondents, the present petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.3 to check the claim of the petitioner and see whether the petitioner meet the cut off marks and is eligible for interview. If on checking, she is found eligible, then natural consequences will follow, otherwise the petitioner will be informed about her result/number of marks obtained by her. The needful be done before the conclusion of the interview.

The copy of this order be given to the learned counsel for the petitioner under the signatures of Bench Secretary for supply to respondent No.3.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

January 30, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No