

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Writ Petition No.14797 of 2017
Decided on : 12.07.2017**

Reeki Kumari

... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA

Present : Mr. Aditya Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

G.S. Sandhawalia, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeking a direction for appointment to the post of Primary Teacher/JBT on the ground that she is a selected candidate and her name figures at Serial No.584 in the merit list issued on 18.08.2014 (Annexure P-2). It is her grouse that similarly situated persons junior in the merit list have been given posting in pursuance of the Advertisement No.2/2012 dated 08.11.2012 Category 2 (Mewat Cadre).

The pleaded case of the petitioner is that she had applied for the abovesaid post in pursuance of the abovesaid advertisement and a list of selected candidates had been displayed by respondent No.2, wherein the petitioner's name was shown at Serial No.584 vide Roll No.44506523.

It has further been pleaded that prior to that ***CWP No.12938 of 2014 'Maha Singh Bhurania Vs. State of Haryana and others'*** had been filed, wherein the selection process conducted by the respondents was challenged on the ground that credentials of the candidates should be verified. The Division Bench in the said case had directed that the result of

the Primary Teachers should be declared and appointment letters should be issued only after verifying antecedents of each of the candidates and after making scientific verification as available.

It is the case of the petitioner that in view of the exemption provided in Note 3 of the abovesaid advertisement since the petitioner was having the necessary 4 years work experience with a Government Aided School she was exempted from qualifying the HTET/STET and she had been duly selected and, therefore, her name figured in the list of successful candidates. It is her case that she had passed her HTET in October, 2013 and submitted the concerned certificate, but has still not been given the appointment letter.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that a representation dated 01.05.2017 (Annexure P-5) has already been submitted with respondent No.2, but no action has been taken on the said representation and, therefore, she has been prejudiced as such.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Copies of the paper-book have been supplied.

Keeping in view the fact that the representation is still pending and no action has been taken upon the same, without commenting upon the merits of the case and the eligibility of the petitioner, the present writ petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No.2 to take action on the said representation and issue necessary appointment letter, if the petitioner fulfills all the criteria and

her documents have been duly verified.

Needless to say that, in case, the relief is to be denied, then a speaking order be passed and be conveyed to the petitioner. The said exercise be completed within a period of 4 weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of this order.

JULY 12, 2017
Naveen

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No