

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

115

CWP No.14742 of 2017

Date of decision: 11.07.2017

Ashok Kumar & others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S.SANDHAWALIA

Present: Mr.Puneet Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioners.

G.S. SANDHAWALIA, J. (Oral)

Admittedly, the petitioners have not approached the respondents for the relief claimed, of the proposed Current Duty Charge.

In such circumstances, counsel for the petitioners is in no position to press the present writ petition, in view of the settled principle that a writ of mandamus can only be issued after a demand has been raised and in case of a refusal to decide, by the respondents.

Accordingly, counsel for the petitioners does not press the present writ petition and prays for liberty to approach the respondents first, for the necessary redressal of their claim.

Disposed of, with the abovesaid liberty.

11.07.2017

Sailesh

**(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No