

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 1461 of 2017

Date of Decision: 02.03.2017

NAHIDA PARVEEN AND OTHERS

...Petitioners

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH.

Present: Mr. Vishal Mittal, Advocate,
 for the petitioners.

Mr. Anshul Gupta, AAG, Punjab.

KULDIP SINGH, J (ORAL)

The petitioners claim that vide order dated 16.10.2015 passed in LPA No.1064 of 2015 (O&M) titled as “**State of Punjab and another** Vs. **Kulwinder Kaur and others**”, decided on 16.10.2015 (*Annexure P-2*), Division Bench of this Court, while considering the benefit of four questions of Punjab State Teachers Eligibility Test (PSTET-II) on the basis of the report dated 12.10.2015, wherein, the answer keys have been found to be incorrect, ordered the benefit of the four marks to be given to the candidates. The petitioners herein claim that the issue in their case is similar as in the said case.

In these circumstances, without issuing any notice to the respondents, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners in the light of the judgment delivered in LPA No. 1064 of 2015 (O&M) titled “**State of Punjab and another** Vs. **Kulwinder Kaur and others**”, decided on 16.10.2015

CWP No. 1461 of 2017

(Annexure P-2) and decide whether the cases of the petitioners are on the similar footing and if so, same benefit shall be extended to the petitioners also. In case, it is found that the petitioners are not entitled to said benefit, a speaking order shall be passed.

In view of the above, the petition stands disposed of.

March 2, 2017

Suresh Kumar

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

		✓	
Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	/	No
			✓
Whether Reportable :	Yes	/	No