

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

**CWP No. 18096 of 2016
Date of Decision: 22.05.2017**

Dr. S.P. Gupta

...Petitioner

Vs.

Union of India & others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Lalit Rishi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amarjit Singh Virk, Advocate,
for respondents No. 2 & 3.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (Oral)

Reply filed on behalf of respondents No.2 and 3 in Court,
which is taken on record.

Heard.

The petition is dismissed for the reasons that none of the candidates were found suitable for the post of Registrar of the respondent-University, for which, a fresh advertisement has been issued. It has been explained in the written statement that the short-listing method was adopted and out of total 76 candidates who had applied, 46 of them were found to be ineligible and 30 candidates, including, the petitioner, were found eligible. The Screening Committee short-listed 15 candidates for interview as per criteria. The petitioner was eligible but was not in the list of short-listed candidates, who were selected for interview process. Only nine appeared for the interview and none of them were found suitable for appointment. The

proceedings of the Committee have been annexed with the written statement (pg. 71-72). Consequently, the previous recruitment process has been rendered infructuous and interference is not warranted in the present case.

It is dismissed as infructuous.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

22.05.2017
neeraj

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>