

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

214

**CWP-19045-2015
Decided on 30.8.2017**

RAM KISHAN

....PETITIONER

VS

STATE OF HARYANA & OTHERS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr. V.D.Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Girdhar, AAG, Haryana.

AJAY TEWARI, J.(Oral)

This petition has been filed for quashing the impugn instructions dated 18.6.2012 for charging 300 times of the license fee (P-2) and further for setting aside the action of respondent No.2 for charging the penal rent in pursuance of the instructions (P-3).

Today learned Additional Advocate General states that actually the levy of penalty at 300 times was not incorporated in the Rules on the date when the demand was raised from the petitioner. Having realized this mistake now the respondents have decided to recover only the 50 times of the rent (as per the extant Rules) and undertake that in case any recovery beyond that has been made from the petitioner the same will be refunded.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is satisfied with this course

of action and consequently in view of this statement the petition stands disposed of.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

30.8.2017
anuradha

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

-

Whether speaking/reasoned	-	Yes/No
Whether reportable	-	Yes/No