

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 14521 of 2017
DECIDED ON: July 10, 2017**

AIDAL SINGH YADAV

.....PETITIONER...

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

.....RESPONDENTS..

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. R.K. Mailk, Sr. Advocate with
Ms. Rimple Kadyan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant writ petition preferred under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has sought issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari to quash the action of the respondents not to grant pension to the petitioner in the corresponding scale of Rs.37400-67000+AGP Rs.9000/- w.e.f 01.01.2006 like lecturers of Govt. Colleges Haryana.

2. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that despite the fact that instructions vide order No. KW3/3-2013 C-III(1), dated, 07.11.2014 have already been issued by the Government of Haryana, Higher Education Department keeping in view the various decisions, which have been finalised by Hon'ble Apex Court, on the point, which is in controversy in the instant case, still the benefit has not been released in favour of the petitioner. Even legal notice dated 03.06.2017 (Annexure P-9) was also served upon the respondents

but despite that fact, no final decision has been taken.

3. The instant petition is disposed of with the direction to the respondent(s) to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his legal notice dated 03.06.2017 (Annexure P-9) and take a decision in view of the judgments (Annexures P-4, P-5 and P-6) within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. In case, the respondents come to the conclusion that the petitioner is entitled to the benefits claimed by him through legal notice, to take action for the disbursement thereof in a reasonable time.

July 10, 2017
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes*

Whether reportable *Yes/No*