

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

CWP No.18059 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: July 17, 2017

Amar Singh & others ...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and other ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. Aditya Singh Yadav, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Rohit Arya, AAG, Haryana.
Mr. Deepak Balyan, Advocate for HUDA.

Rajan Gupta, J.

Petitioner has invoked writ jurisdiction of this court with the grievance that ingress and egress to petitioner's agricultural land had been blocked by the respondents by raising a boundary wall; further praying that a passage be provided to the petitioners to enable them to reach their land.

At the outset, it is submitted on behalf of the respondents that land in question was acquired way back in the year 1975 for the purpose of development of Industrial Estate, Dharuhera. The revenue *Rasta*, which provided access to the land was also subject matter of acquisition. At present the sector has been developed completely. Petitioners have approached this court after 40 years of acquisition of land and revenue *Rasta*.

On due consideration of the matter, I am of the view that the petition is totally misconceived. It discloses no cause of action warranting interference in writ jurisdiction. Same is hereby dismissed.

July 17, 2017
'Rajpal'

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:

Yes / No