

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

118

C.W.P No.14481 of 2017
Date of Decision : 12.07.2017

Himanshu Asija

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Anurag Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

By this petition the petitioner has challenged the order dated 29.06.2017 (Annexure P-18) whereby the allotment of house made to her was cancelled by the respondent No.3.

The facts are that the petitioner was a regular employee being Lecturer in the Electronics Engineering in the Department of Technical Education, Haryana. She was transferred to the Government Polytechnic, Sonapat in the year 2012. In the year 2016 she was detected with cancer, and she was getting treatment at Medanta Hospital, Gurgaon. Her Headquarters were shifted to the respondent No.3-Society by order dated

compassionate grounds. This order was extended further again for 120 days on 21.09.2016 (Annexure P-10). By order dated 22.12.2016 (Annexure P-11) it was extended till further orders. During this period she was allotted a house by the respondent No.3. Thereafter a suit was filed by the respondent No.4 challenging the allotment of the house to her on the ground that she not being on the cadre of the Government Polytechnic Education Society, Manesar was not eligible for the allotment of a house and had prayed that the allotment in her favour be cancelled. Notice was issued in this civil suit and the respondent No.3 was constrained to cancel the allotment of the house in favour of the petitioner.

The contention of the learned counsel is placed only on the ground that the petitioner is seriously sick and is in dire need of the house in Manesar. I am afraid this argument will not suffice. It is not disputed that the petitioner is not an employee of the Government Polytechnic Education Society, Manesar and as such is not eligible for the allotment of house. The Court has sympathy for the plight in which the petitioner finds herself but is unable to grant any relief to her.

Consequently, the petition is dismissed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

July 12, 2017
ashish

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned - Yes/No

Whether reportable - Yes/No