

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Civil Writ Petition No.22059 of 2013
Date of Decision: February 13, 2017

Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited, Patiala

...Petitioner

Versus

The Municipal Council, Nabha, District Patiala & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE

Present: Mr.Arvind Rajotia, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.Vishal Gupta, Advocate,
for respondent No.1.

Mr.Yatinder Sharma, Addl.A.G.Punjab,
for the State.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

The challenge in the present writ petition is to the imposition of the property tax by claiming the benefit under Article 285 of the Constitution of India.

Respondent No.1 has filed reply relying upon the letter dated 21.12.2013 (Annexure R-1/2) issued by the Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited to all its heads, whereby the properties stand in the name of BSNL do not enjoy the protection of Article 285 of the Constitution.

In view of the aforementioned fact, the petitioner cannot claim the benefit of the same.

The respondents can seek the deposit of the property tax in accordance with law.

Writ petition stands disposed of.

February 13, 2017
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No