

117 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 14294 of 2017
DECIDED ON: JULY 06, 2017**

PARMINDER KAUR

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. B.D. Sharma, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to grant the petitioner benefit in terms of the decision taken by this Court in CWP No. 25733 of 2012 decided on 22.10.2013 titled as A.P. Sharma & others vs. State of Punjab & others (Annexure P-4), which was upheld in LPA No. 352 of 2014 decided on 31.08.2016 as well in CWP No. 2866 of 2014 decided on 30.09.2016 (Annexure P-5) and to revise the pension/family pension of the petitioner w.e.f 01.01.2006 in terms of notification dated 22.02.2010 (Annexure P-3) with all consequential benefits and also grant the petitioner further revision in her pension/family pension w.e.f. 01.12.2011 in the revised pay as mentioned in column 8 of the

Government Instructions, whereby, while removing anomaly in the pay scales, the pay band/grade pay was further revised on the recommendations of Anomaly Committee as per the recommendations of the Pay Commission and grant the petitioner all consequential benefits i.e. arrears alongwith interest as allowed in CWP No. 25733 of 2012;

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondents No.1 and 2 to deal with and dispose of the representation dated 13.03.2017 (Annexure P-7) within a stipulated period.

Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents No.1 and 2 to look into the grievances ventilated by the petitioner in his representation dated 13.03.2017 (Annexure P-7) and to decide the same within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, that too keeping in view the judgment passed in CWP No. 2866 of 2014, titled as **Karanvir Singh & others v. State of Punjab and others;** decided on September 30, 2016 as well as CWP No. 25733 of 2012, titled as **A.P. Sharma & others vs. State of Punjab & others;** decided on October 22, 2013.

However, if the petitioner still feels aggrieved by any order of the afore-said authorities, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

JULY 06, 2017
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned ***Yes/No***

Whether reportable ***Yes/No***