

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.17837 of 2016(O&M)
Date of Decision: 06.09.2017

Anil Kumar

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. T.C. Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Monica Chhibber Sharma, Sr. D.A.G., Punjab.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J

Pleadings on record would indicate that the petitioner who was serving as Lecturer under the Punjab School Education Department, had earlier filed CWP No.177 of 2013 assailing his order of suspension dated 10.1.2012. The writ petition was disposed of as infructuous on 22.4.2016 (Annexure P-5) by taking notice of the fact that the order of suspension already stood revoked on 10.2.2013.

The instant writ petition has been filed raising the solitary prayer for directing the respondent authorities to conclude the regular departmental inquiry initiated against the petitioner within a stipulated time frame.

Learned State counsel upon instructions from Sh. Manpreet Singh, Sr. Assistant, Education Department, Branch-II and on the basis of the original record has taken a categorical stand that after the petitioner had been reinstated on 10.2.2013, he was issued a charge sheet on 12.5.2013. An Inquiry Officer had been appointed. On the findings returned by the Inquiry Officer the punishing authority has imposed upon the petitioner the

penalty of stoppage of one annual increment without cumulative effect vide order dated 8.5.2014.

In the light of such factual position, nothing would survive in the instant petition and the same is, accordingly, disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

06.09.2017

lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No