

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH***

**C.W.P. No. 14269 of 2017  
Date of Decision:- 05.07.2017**

Narinder Kumar ....Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab and others ....Respondents

\*\*\*

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY**

\*\*\*

Present:- Mr. Manoj Kumar Tanwar, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*

**DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)**

The grievance of the petitioner in the present writ petition is that he is going to retire on 31.7.2017 on attaining the age of superannuation i.e. 58 years. As per policy of the State Government, he can be allowed to continue on the post for a further period of 2 years. The case of the petitioner has been recommended by the Deputy Chief Engineer, Bhakra Dam Circle i.e. respondent No.6 and forwarded it to the Chief Engineer, Irrigation Works, Punjab, Chandigarh but nothing has been conveyed to the petitioner. A representation dated 16.5.2017 has also been made by the petitioner but no action has been taken.

Learned counsel submits that the petitioner would be satisfied in case the directions are issued to the respondents to take action on the recommendation and to decide the representation dated 16.5.2017 as per policy of the State Government as nothing adverse is there against the petitioner.

Keeping in view the limited prayer of the petitioner and without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to consider the claim of the petitioner on the basis of recommendation made in his favour and also the representation dated 16.5.2017 (Annexure P-5) within a period of two weeks in accordance with the policy of the State Government as well as the decision taken by the respondent-Department vis.-a-vis. other candidates for extension in service.

Writ petition stands disposed of accordingly.

**July 05, 2017**  
**poonam**

**( DAYA CHAUDHARY )**  
**JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned**

**Yes**

**Whether reportable**

**No**