

123

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Civil Writ Petition No.14266 of 2017

Date of decision: 05.07.2017

Ram Niwas

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S.SANDHAWALIA

Present: Mr. Ram Niwas Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

G.S.SANDHAWALIA, J. (Oral)

The petitioner who is working as a Driver challenges his transfer order from Rohtak to Faridabad on the ground that he has not completed requisite period of posting as per the policy and there was no complaint or enquiry against him.

A perusal of the paper-book would go on to show that he was posted at Rohtak on 2.11.2015 (Annexure P/1) and now impugned order dated 27.6.2017 (Annexure P/3) has been passed after a period of 1-1/2 years in view of which one Sumit Kumar is being relieved from Faridabad and the petitioner has been asked to replace him at Faridabad depot. It is not disputed that the petitioner has already been relieved from Rohtak depot.

Normally this Court would not interfere with the transfer orders which are administrative in nature and for the exigencies of the smooth running of the administration especially in view of absence of any malafide allegation against the respondents.

Faced with this situation, counsel for the petitioner submits that

he be given liberty to approach the respondents for redressal of his grievance.

Accordingly, the present writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to approach respondent no.2 for redressal of his grievance by way of filing representation within a week from today. Needless to say if any such representation is made, the said respondent no.2 shall take a decision on the said representation within a period of ten days thereafter.

July 05, 2017
Pka

(G.S.SANDHAWALIA)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No