

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CM-880-CWP-2017 in/and
CWP No.19446 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of Decision: May 17, 2017

Kanwar Pal Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Baba Farid University of Health and Sciences, Faridkot and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Mandeep Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Samrat Malik, Advocate
for the applicant-petitioner.

Mr. Karan Singh Sandhu, Advocate
for respondents No.1 and 2.

Ms. Lavanya Paul, AAG Punjab.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

CM-880-CWP-2017

CM is allowed.

Replication is taken on record.

CWP No.25582 of 2015

The instant writ petition has been filed under Articles 226 of
the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari to

quash appointment of respondent No.3 as Controller of Examinations and to
quash appointment of respondent No.4 as Assistant Registrar/Deputy
Registrar and Joint Registrar.

The basic grievance that arises in this writ petition is the
appointment of respondent No.3-Ravinder Kaur as Controller of
Examinations and appointment of respondent No.4-Satpal Singh as Joint
Registrar with Baba Farid University of Health and Sciences, Faridkot. It is
contended in the writ petition that respondent No.3 was given appointment
as Controller of Examinations and respondent No.4 was given appointment
as Joint Registrar, without any interview/test being held and also got
financial benefits in violation of Punjab CSR Rules 10-22(1), 10-22(4) and
10-22(6).

Mr. Karan Singh Sandhu, learned counsel appearing on behalf
of respondents No.1 and 2-Baba Farid University of Health and Sciences,
Faridkot has filed a short affidavit of Dr. Surinder Pal Singh, Registrar,
which is taken on record, in which, it is submitted that respondent No.4,
who had joined as Joint Registrar on deputation with respondents No.1 and
2-University has since been repatriated to its parent Department i.e.
Education Department, Chandigarh Administration by letter dated
07.04.2017 and stands relieved from that date itself. He further submits that
respondent No.3, who had joined as Controller of Examinations on
deputation with respondents No.1 and 2-University has also since been
repatriated to its Department.

In view of the fact that respondents No.3 and 4 stand
repatriated, no further orders are called for in this writ petition.

The writ petition stands disposed of accordingly.

May 17, 2017
vijay saini

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No