

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-1412-2017

Date of decision: 27.01.2017

Kaka Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present: Mr. G.P.S. Bal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner herein joined the services as a Home Guard Volunteer in the year 1998 and was recommended for appointment on the post of Constable as far back as 1993. The petitioner has been enrolled as a Constable but given the benefit of regular service only from the year 2011 whereas similarly situated persons who were appointed as Constable have been given the benefit of having worked on the post of Home Guard Volunteer from the date they joined as such. The petitioner is aggrieved and claims parity along with others who had been given that benefit. A representation has already been filed in this regard but the same has yet to be decided.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner prays that the representation should be disposed of expeditiously by passing a speaking order.

I have heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner and in view of the limited prayer, I dispose of the petition by giving liberty to the petitioner to move a detailed representation within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. On doing so, the respondents are directed to decide the representation of the petitioner by passing a speaking order, preferably, within a period of three months thereafter. In case, the petitioner is found to be entitled to the necessary relief as sought for, the necessary benefit be granted to him within a period of three months thereafter.

With the above directions, the writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

27.01.2017

Satyawan

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes.
No.