

111

M/s Aroma Bar and Restro and others

....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

Present: Mr. Abhilaksh Grover, Advocate for the petitioners.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioners have approached this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking direction to respondents No.1 and 2 to renew their L-4/L-5 License and to pass appropriate orders upon their representation dated 28.05.2017 (Anenxure P-15).

2. After arguing for sometime, learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that inadvertently certain relevant averments could not be incorporated and necessary documents have not been attached with the present writ petition. Accordingly, a prayer was made that he may be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to the petitioners to file a fresh petition on the same cause of action by incorporating better particulars.

3. Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

July 12, 2017
sonia gugnani

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes
Whether reportable?	No