

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

106+243

CM No. 1716-2017 and
CWP-140-2017
Decided on 17.02.2017

NARENDER

....PETITIONER

VS

STATE OF HARYANA & OTHERS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Abhimanyu Batra, Advocate
for petitioner.

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Additional Advocate General, Haryana

Mr. R.K.Malik, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Samrat Malik, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

AJAY TEWARI, J.(Oral)

CM No. 1716-2017

This is an application for placing on record written statement
on behalf of respondent No.4.

For the reasons recorded in the application, the same is
allowed and written statement on behalf of respondent No.4 is taken on
record. Copy has been supplied to the opposite party.

Main case

On 12.01.2017, the following contention was noticed:

*“Learned counsel for the petitioner has placed reliance on the
judgment delivered in Vineeta Goyal versus High Court of Delhi 2008
AIR(SC)2103 to contend that the introduction of minimum marks after
the selection process was initiated and after the petitioner had been*

called for interview could not be done. Learned counsel for the petitioner has also stated that these interviews in which the petitioner had not been called took place on 09.06.2016, 10.01.2017, 11.01.2017.”

As per the written statement filed by respondent No.4, a corrigendum has been issued in which criteria has been disclosed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is not in a position to deny this fact.

In these circumstances, on the very basis of the claim of the petitioner, this petition has been rendered inexistence.

Petition stands dismissed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stand disposed of.

17.02.2017
anuradha

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

-

Whether speaking/reasoned	-	Yes/No
Whether reportable	-	Yes/No