

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP no.13901 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: July 03, 2017

Suresh Kumar Malik

...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Aditya Yadav, Advocate, for
Mr. Ramesh Sindhar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the reason for rejection of grant of benefits of Military service to the petitioner has not been given in the order Annexure P-2, which is wholly a cryptic order and thereafter, though he has made a representation, Annexure P-3, to respondent no.2 herein, the said representation has not been decided even though, as contended, the petitioners' service in the Navy has been duly verified by the respondent authorities.

He relies upon two judgments of this Court, rendered in CWP no.3265 of 1995 and CWP No.298 of 2012, copies of which have been annexed as Annexures P-8 and P-9 respectively, to submit that his case is wholly covered by the said judgments.

Consequently, this petition is disposed of with a direction to

respondent no.2 to take a decision on the representation of the petitioner, dated 20.07.2012 (Annexure P-3), as per law, in terms of the aforesaid two judgments, Annexures P-8 and P-9, within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

If the petitioners' case is rejected, it shall be by a fully reasoned order stating therein also as to why his case is not covered by the ratio of the aforesaid two judgments.

July 03, 2017
vcgarg

(**Amol Rattan Singh**)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether reportable: no