

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

238

CWP No.18401 of 2015

Date of decision: December 06, 2017

Union Territory, Chandigarh and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

Surjit Singh and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Vikram Vir Sharda, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Amit Kaith, Advocate for respondent No.1.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J (Oral)

Learned counsel for respondent No.1 submitted that during pendency of the petition, respondent-workman is stated to have died. Therefore, petition do not survive for consideration. Insofar as reinstatement is concerned, petition do not survive. Legal heirs of the respondent-workman are entitled to 25% back wages. In the notice of motion order dated 16.09.2015, it is crystal clear that the petition has been entertained only in respect of reinstatement. Therefore, petitioners are directed to calculate 25% back wages as ordered by the Labour Court. Petitioners are hereby directed to pay 25% back wages along with interest @ 6% P.A. to the legal heirs of the respondent-workman from the date of the award within a period of four months from today.

In view of the above, petition stands disposed of.

**(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**

December 06, 2017

anju rani

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No