

CWP-1385-2017

Date of Decision : 27.01.2017

Chander Mohini

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI**Present:** Mr. Vivek Khatri, Advocate
for the petitioner.**M.M.S. BEDI, JUDGE (ORAL)**

Petitioner claims that a plot No. 82-C was allotted to Mela Ram and after his death, mutation thereof has been sanctioned in the name of Gurkha Mal. After the death of Gurkha Mal, the petitioner being daughter of Gurkha Mal, had moved an application for issuance of conveyance deed of the plot which originally vested in Mela Ram.

Vide order Annexure P-3, the Deputy Commissioner had issued directions to the Tehsildar, for verification of the legal heirs, entitled to the plot in question and issue the conveyance deed. It is claimed by the petitioner that nothing has been done till date. However, it is submitted that a representation Annexure P-5 has been submitted to the Deputy commissioner and Tehsildar, for registration of the conveyance deed, on the basis of the confirmation of the legal heirs of deceased, Gurkha Mal son of Mela Ram.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner at length, I am of the opinion that interest of justice would be adequately met, in case, the Deputy Commissioner, Hisar is directed to consider the representation Annexure P-5 and pass a speaking order taking into

consideration all the pleas, taken up in the application for registration of the conveyance deed.

The petition is disposed of as pre-mature, at this stage, with a direction to the Deputy Commissioner to consider the claim of the petitioner Annexure P-5, within a period of three months after the receipt of certified copy of the order.

It will be the duty of the petitioner to communicate the certified copy of this order to the above said authority.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

27.01.2017

Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No