

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.17322 of 2016
Date of decision : 01.02.2017

Surinder Singh Chinda

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

Present: Mr. Dhawal P.S. Ahluwalia, Advocate for the petitioner.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has approached this Court challenging the order dated 14/15.06.2016 (Annexure P-7), whereby he has been suspended by invoking the provision of Section 34-A of the Haryana Municipal Corporation Act, 1994 whereas sub-Section 3 provides alternative remedy of filing the appeal within a period of 30 days from the date of communication.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that Annexure P-7 is dated 15.06.2016, whereas writ petition has been filed on 20.08.2016.

In my view, the petitioner has not been properly advised to avail the remedy in accordance with law.

At this stage, learned counsel appearing on behalf of petitioner submits that some alternative remedy has already been availed but at later point of time.

Authorities concerned shall consider the application in a reasonable and pragmatic manner and instead of pondering upon the delay, it is expected that respondent No.2 will decide the appeal on merits.

With the aforementioned direction, present writ petition stands disposed of.

01.02.2017

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No