

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 17269 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : January 27, 2017

Sandeep Kumar,

..... Petitioner

v.

State of Haryana and others,

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Sandeep Goyat, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Addl. AG Haryana

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

By way of this writ petition, the petitioner has challenged the order dated 12.8.2016 (Annexure P-2) from Hisar to Fatehabad.

The primary ground taken is that the petitioner had been transferred to Hisar only on 8.4.2016 and as per the policy of the Government itself, normal tenure would be of two years and it would only be in the case of extreme administrative exigency that a person should be transferred before that tenure.

policy does say so, yet it is not an inflexible rule. In the written statement it has been detailed that the petitioner was appointed as a Clerk in the year 2002 and worked as such at Hisar for six years till 2008. He was transferred to Fatehabad on 30.6.2008. He was promoted as Auditor on 30.11.2011 and was again transferred from Fatehabad to Hisar on 6.6.2014. He was further promoted as Accountant on 24.3.2015 and was posted at Kaithal.

A perusal of the above facts reveals that in the last about 15 years, the petitioner has continuously worked either at Hisar or Fatehabad except for a period of one year when he was posted at Kaithal. In these circumstances, I see no reason to interfere in the impugned order and consequently dismiss the writ petition with no order as to costs.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending C.Ms, if any, also stand disposed of.

January 27, 2017
'kk'

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No