

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CWP No.17225 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: September 28, 2017

The Estate Officer, U.T. Chandigarh ...Petitioner

Versus

Permanent Lok Adalat & another ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Ms. Deepali Puri, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Satnam Singh, Advocate for respondent No.2.
Ms. Priyanka Dalal, Advocate for respondent No.3.

Rajan Gupta, J.(oral)

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 submits that petitioners have a remedy of appeal before the appellate authority. He has relied upon judgment rendered by Division Bench of this court in CWP No.11078 of 2016, decided on 26.08.2016.

In view of above, petitioners are relegated to the remedy of appeal before the appellate authority. In case issue of limitation arises, same would be decided in light of directions given by this court in CWP No.11078 of 2016.

Disposed of.

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

September 28, 2017
'Rajpal'

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:

Yes / No