

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.18189 of 2015(O&M)
Date of Decision: 02.11.2017

Dinesh Kumar & others

--Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Sapan Dhir, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Sukhbir Singh, A.A.G., Haryana.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J (Oral)

C.M. No.15654 of 2017

Application is allowed as prayed for.

C.M. No.15655 of 2017

Application is allowed as prayed for.

Replication to the written statement filed on behalf of the State along with Annexures P-14 and P-15 is taken on record. A complete copy already stands furnished to learned State counsel.

Main Case

As per pleadings on record the petitioners herein initially joined service as Constables under the Punjab Police in the year 1996 and subsequently earned promotions on different dates as Sub Inspectors and Inspectors. Petitioners now stand superannuated.

The instant petition was instituted in the year 2015 assailing the order dated 30.3.2015 (Annexure P-11), whereby claim of the petitioners for stepping up of their pay viz-a-viz their junior namely Jasbir Singh, was rejected.

During the course of arguments it has gone uncontroverted that identical claim set up by certain other seniors seeking pay parity with Jasbir Singh had filed CWP No.9806 of 2011 (Amar Nath and others Vs. State of Punjab and others) and which was allowed vide judgement dated 18.11.2013 (Annexure P-7). Learned State counsel does not dispute that the controversy raised in the instant petition would be covered in terms of the judgement rendered in *Amar Nath's* case (supra).

Further, it has been conceded by learned State counsel, who is on instructions from Sh. Amarjeet Singh Sandhu, Dy.S.P., I.T Wing, Chandigarh that the judgement passed by this Court in CWP No.9806 of 2011 already stands implemented and the benefit of pay parity stands granted to the petitioners therein.

Under such circumstances, the present writ petition is allowed. Impugned order dated 30.3.2015 (Annexure P-11) is set aside.

The writ petition is allowed in terms of the judgement dated 18.11.2013 rendered by this Court in CWP No.9806 of 2011 (Amar Nath and others Vs. State of Punjab and others).

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

02.11.2017

lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No