

CWP No.13456 of 2017

Date of decision: July 17, 2017

Mahabir Parshad Aggarwal and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. M.S. Randhawa, Advocate
for the petitioners.

JASPAL SINGH, J.(ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioners have sought issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari quashing the impugned order dated 09.04.2010 (Annexure P-2) vide which increment granted on promotion @ 3% has been withdrawn, now recovery is being affected and all other consequential orders, vide which pay has been reduced and re-fixed at lower side.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners feel satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide the representation dated 03.01.2017 (Annexure P-6) within a stipulated period.

3. Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioners in their representation dated 03.01.2017 (Annexure P-6) and to decide the same, strictly in accordance with judgment dated 03.10.2016 passed in *CWP No.3922 of 2011 (O&M)* and connected matters, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. In

case, the concerned authority comes to the conclusion that the petitioners are entitled to the relief sought, the same be released in their favour within a reasonable period.

July 17, 2017
m. sharma

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No