

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-18639-2014

Date of Decision:-06.10.2017.

Jogi Ram and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

Union of India and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, Sr. Panel counsel for
UOI-respondents.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the respondents submitted that respondents have passed a speaking order dated 5.8.2017/6.9.2017 relating to entitlement of 1st, 2nd and 3rd ACP with reference to Central Service (Revised Pay) Rules, 2008 while extending the benefit to petitioner Nos.5, 6, 11, and 16 namely, Sohan Lal, Ram Singh, Man Singh and Laxmi Devi, respectively. Insofar as other petitioners are concerned, it was held that they are not entitled. In view of the aforesaid submission and the fact that petitioners have sought a writ of *mandamus* and the respondents have passed a speaking order, petitioners whose claim has been rejected, they are at liberty to challenge the speaking order dated 05.08.2017/06.09.2017. If the respondents have not extended monetary benefits to petitioner Nos.5, 6,

11 and 16, they are hereby directed to calculate and disburse the same within a period of 3 months from today.

With the above observations, petition stands disposed of.

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

October 06, 2017.
sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.