

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.16955 of 2016.

Date of Decision: January 11, 2017

Smt.Sunita

.....Petitioner

versus

Haryana Urban Development Authority and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA.

Present: Mr.Zorawar Singh Chauhan, Advocate, for the petitioner.

-. -

1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks a direction for allotment of a plot under the Oustees Policy a copy whereof has been placed on record as Annexure P-8. Her case is that the land situated within the revenue estate of Rewari which she purchased vide sale-deed dated 25.06.1997, was acquired by the State of Haryana vide Award No.25 dated 07.12.2006. On this premise, the petitioner falls in the category of 'oustees' and is statedly entitled to allotment of a residential plot as per the Oustees Policy.

The petitioner is said to have submitted applications but her claim having not been decided by HUDA authorities, the instant writ petition has been filed.

Taking into consideration the above-mentioned claim but without expressing any views on merits thereof, we dispose of this writ petition with a direction to the Estate Office, HUDA, Rewari-respondent

No.3 to verify the petitioner's claim and if she is found entitled to, let a

residential plot be allotted to her in accordance with the Government/HUDA Policy. An appropriate reasoned order to this effect be passed within a period of three months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order.

Ordered accordingly.

Dasti.

[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE

January 11, 2017
mohinder

[SUDIP AHLUWALIA]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No