239

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-13362-2017
Date of decision : 10.10.2017

Ghanshyam and another

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. R.K. Kapoor, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Mamta Singla Talwar, DAG, Haryana.

****

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have approached this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ of *mandamus, restraining* the respondents and the authorities under them from levying the tax, upon the vehicles belonging to the petitioners, under Clause 3 B(VI) of the Notification No.13/15/2010-6T(I) dated 28.03.2017, issued by the Transport Department, Haryana, Government, while for tax liability, the vehicles of the petitioners fall under Clause 3 B(II)(B) or under Clause 3 B(III) (B).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that during the pendency of the present writ petition, the respondent(s) has issued a fresh notification under Haryana Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 2016. Accordingly, a prayer was made that he may be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to the petitioners to challenge the said

Yogesh Sharma
2017.10.11 12:07
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

notification, if aggrieved, in accordance with law.

3. Dismissed as withdrawn. However, it shall be open to the petitioners to take recourse to the remedies as may be available to them, in accordance with law.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

10.10.2017
Yogesh Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned **Yes/ No**

Whether Reportable **Yes/ No**

Yogesh Sharma
2017.10.11 12:07
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document