

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.1336 of 2017

Date of Decision:27.01.2017

Krishan Chander and others

... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present : Mr. Ramesh Goyat, Advocate for the petitioners.

P.B. BAJANTHRI J.

In the present petition, the petitioners have questioned the decision rejecting petitioners' claim relating to rectification of pay anomaly on the ground that the petitioners who are Inspectors in the Transport Department sought extending pay drawn by the Inspectors in the Excise, Forest and Labour Departments. The Pay Anomaly Committee have considered their grievances and declined to extend the pay on par with the Inspectors in the Excise, Forest and Labour Departments. The same has been communicated to the learned counsel for the petitioner by the Additional Chief Secretary to Government of Haryana, Finance Department, Haryana, on 28.9.2016 which is under challenge.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the Pay Anomaly Committee has not considered the grievance of the petitioners. Without considering the contentions of the petitioners, they have rejected the claim of the petitioners and the same has been communicated by the Government.

Pay fixation of a cadre is a policy matter. It all depends on various factors like nature of work, qualification etc. The same is required to be examined by the Pay Commission or Pay Anomaly Committee. It is

purely a policy of the Government. The Court cannot interfere in respect of rectification of pay anomaly. Thus, the petitioners have not made out a case so as to interfere with the impugned decision/communication dated 28.9.2016 (Annexure P-8).

Accordingly, the petition stands dismissed.

27.01.2017
rajeev

(P.B. Bajanthri)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No