

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**C.M. No. 10885-CWP of 2017 in/and
CWP No. 1334 of 2017
Date of decision: 10.08.2017**

Partap Singh and others

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S.SANDHAWALIA

Present: Mr. Vikas Kuthiala, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sandeep Moudgil, Advocate,
for the applicant-respondents no. 2 and 3.

G.S.SANDHAWALIA, J. (Oral)

C.M. No. 10885-CWP of 2017

Application for placing on record affidavit alongwith Annexure R-1on behalf of respondents no. 2 and 3 is allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

The same are taken on record.

CWP No. 1334 of 2017

A perusal of Annexure R-1 would go on to show that the petitioners have been paid the arrears to the tune of Rs.1,26,744/- each vide cheques dated 24.03.2017.

Counsel for the petitioner, thus, submits that he is satisfied to the extent that the arrears of difference of wages actually paid by the respondent-Board as per D.C. Rates have been paid. He, however, submits that the issue of payment of equal pay for equal work as paid to regular employees holding the same post in view of the judgment in *State of Punjab and others vs. Jagjit Singh and others, 2016 (4) SCT 641* remains unredressed. Counsel could not point out any representation filed on this account to the respondents whereby the claim of mandamus is sought.

In such circumstances, without a demand having been raised, this Court is of the opinion that firstly liberty should be given to approach the respondents for the necessary relief.

Accordingly, the petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous with the abovesaid liberty.

10.08.2017
shivani

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No

