

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.13322 of 2017

Date of Decision: 29th September, 2017

Raj Bala

. . . . Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

. . . . Respondents

CORAM: - HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present: Mr.Kulwant Singh Dhanora, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.

Mr.Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate,
for respondents No.2 and 3.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (ORAL)

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the writ petition may be converted into a representation and respondent No.3 be directed to decide the same by passing a speaking order within a specific period.

Learned counsel for respondents No.2 & 3 has also agreed that in case writ petition is ordered to be treated as a representation, it would be effectively decided by respondent No.3 after giving an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner which includes leading of evidence, if any.

In view of the above, the present petition is hereby disposed of by converting it into a representation. The copy of the writ petition is already with the respondents. Respondent No.3 is directed to decide the writ petition as a representation within a period of three months from the date of appearing of the petitioner before him.

The petitioner is directed to appear before respondent No.3 on 10.10.2017.

It is needless to mention that while deciding representation, respondent No.3 shall have sympathetic approach.

Disposed of.

29th SEPTEMBER, 2017
Vivek

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>