

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.13315 of 2017
Date of decision:17.07.2017

Umed Singh and others ...Petitioners
Versus
State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rakesh Kumar Jain

Present: Mr. Raman Chawla, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Rakesh Kumar Jain, J. (Oral)

During the course of hearing, counsel for the petitioners has admitted that the impugned orders can be challenged by way of revision under Section 56 of the Indian Stamp Act, 1899.

In view thereof, the present petition is not maintainable and is dismissed as such, with liberty to the petitioners to avail their remedy of revision, in accordance with law.

July 17, 2017
vinod*

(Rakesh Kumar Jain)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No